

01
02
03
04
05
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

08 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
09 Plaintiff,) CASE NO. CR88-334-JCC
10 v.)
11 STEVEN GLEN SILKETT,) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.
12 Defendant.) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO
ALLEGED VIOLATIONS
OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
13

14 An evidentiary hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled
15 before me on October 13, 2011. The United States was represented by AUSA Richard Cohen
16 and the defendant by Walter Palmer. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

17 Defendant had been sentenced on or about March 24, 1989 by the Honorable John C.
18 Coughenour on a charge of Conspiracy to Distribute Cocaine, Possession of Cocaine with
19 Intent to Distribute and Contempt, and sentenced to 262 months custody, four years supervised
20 release.

21 The conditions of supervised release included the standard conditions plus the
22 requirements that defendant submit to search and maintain a uniform identity on all documents.

01 Because this case pre-dates the Court's electronic docketing system, a copy of the original
02 Judgment was not reviewed. The probation officer has summarized the case history in
03 Document 87.

04 In an application dated June 16, 2011 (Dkt.86), U.S. Probation Officer Jamie M.
05 Halvorson alleged the following violation of the conditions of supervised release:

06 1. Committing the offenses of Delivery of Heroin, between May 2011 and June
07 2011, in Kitsap County, WA and Possession with Intent to Distribute Heroin, on or about June
08 13, 2011, in Pierce County, WA in violation of a general condition of release.

09 In a second application dated September 21, 2011 (Dkt.90), U.S. Probation Officer
10 Jamie M. Halvorson alleged the following violation of the conditions of supervised release:

11 2. Failing to notify the probation officer at least 10 days prior to any change in
12 residence or employment, in violation of a standard condition of supervision.

13 Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights. An
14 evidentiary hearing was conducted, following which the Court found that the United States has
15 established by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant committed the alleged
16 violations. (Dkt. 101.)

17 I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as
18 alleged, and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition. The next
19 hearing will be set before Judge Coughenour .

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

01 Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.

02 DATED this 13th day of October, 2011.

03
04 

05 Mary Alice Theiler
06 United States Magistrate Judge

07
08 cc: District Judge: Honorable John C. Coughenour
09 AUSA: Richard Cohen
10 Defendant's attorney: Walter Palmer
11 Probation officer: Jamie Halvorson
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22